# Status of HERA Polarisation 

- Reanalysis of TPOL data
- Reanalysis of the LPOL data
- LPOL Cavity

Blanka Sobloher, Riccardo Fabbri, Ties Behnke
PRC meeting, DESY, 29.4.2011

TPOL

## Status of TPOL Re-analysis

- Analysis method has been frozen early in 2011 (as requested by PRC)

Since then:

- Re-tuning of the Monte Carlo predictions (CPU intensive)
- Re-calibration of the system
- Re-tune of the algorithms
- Re-processing of all HERA II data finished
- Re-analysis of the HERA rise-time data
- Re-analysis of the TPOL Silicon detector data
- Complete set of results for HERAll exists
- Systematic error study:
- TPOL internal systematic errors finished


## Analysing Data for all of HERA II running

- Given an absolute polarization scale, the ratio can be calculated into a ratio of MC Analysing Power to ,true‘ Analysing Power:


Similar behaviour for all HERAII periods

Dependence is rather stable


Status of last fall

## Re-analysed HERA-II Data

Preliminary, final run still not finished


Significant reduced dependence

Stable behaviour,
exception: very last periods

- Energy calibration at Compton edge
- Pedestal treatment
- overall alignment constant Silicon - Calorimeter

Status April 2011

## Risetime Analysis

Risetime calibration in 2007:

Define scale independent of other measurements

Precision around 2-3\%


Risetime method used to confirm / cross check the TPOL scale.

Comparison of 2007 risetime curves with measured polarisation after all corrections

Scale $=1.008 \pm 0.008$


## Systematic Errors (preliminary)

| Error | Size | Comment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Background subtraction | 0.001 |  |  |
| Electronic noise | <0.001 | Small, not final |  |
| Linear light polarisation | 0.001 |  |  |
| Table/ Calo centering | 0.002 |  |  |
| Calo Gain calibration | 0.005 |  |  |
| HERA / laser IP |  |  |  |
| Laser beam | 0.002 |  |  |
| HERA emittance | <0.001 | Small |  |
| HERA energy |  | Small |  |
| HERA optics | 0.006 |  |  |
| Method |  |  |  |
| IP distance | 0.005 | Estimate |  |
| Focus correction | 0.007 | Estimate | Preliminary final intrinsic TPOL error |
| Intrinsic method | 0.005 |  |  |
| Detector Model |  |  | 1.8\% |
| Energy resolution | 0.004 |  |  |
| Correlations | 0.010 |  |  |
| Calo linearity | 0.002 |  |  |
| Eta-y from Silicon |  | Small, under study |  |

LPOL

## LPOL status

- Re-analysis of systematic errors (nearly) finished (wait for confirmation of two errors: analysis done, final number needs to be evaluated)
- Since Jan 2011: zero manpower for LPOL work


## Updated list of systematic errors

| Sources | Released values | Current analysis |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Analyzing power (A.P.) | $1.2 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ |
| - response function | $0.9 \%$ | NA |
| - single to multi photon extrapolation | $0.8 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ |
| A.P. Long term stability | $0.5 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ |
| Extra uncertainty on calo scale | $1.2 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ |
| Gain mismatch | $0.3 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ |
| Pockels cell misalignment | $0.4 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ |
| IP interaction | $0.8 \%$ | on going |
| Laser light polarization | $0.2 \%$ | on going |

Confirm the previous list of systematic errors

## Cavity LPOL

## Risetime Comparison

Comparison TPOL (new analysis) and cavity with rise time calibration



Note: Cavity uses different theory in publication than current status.

Generally decent agreement cavity - TPOL data and rise-time, within uncertainties Cavity and TPOL show very similar tendency.

# LPOL TPOL Ratio 

## LPOL TPOL Ratio (preliminary)







Overall: about the same as old analysis still a more careful investigation is needed
(technical problems accessing the right LPOL data)

## Future Steps

- Re-establish the link between TPOL (LPOL) and experiments to communicate the results (ongoing, expect to be done early May)
- Confirm our current set of LPOL data: condition for final study of LPOL/TPOL ratio
- Finalise the total systematic errors (from study of LPOL/ TPOL ratio)

